



### Media as a Catalyst for Communicating Sardar Patel's Vision of National Unity

<b>Dr. Manoj Kumar. Srivastava</b> Associate Professor Tilak School of Journalism & Mass Communication Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut	<b>Citation:</b> Srivastava, M. (2025). <i>Media as a Catalyst for Communicating Sardar Patel's Vision of National Unity</i> . INNOVATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS IN SOCIAL SCIENCES, 2(1), 45–55. DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17972548">https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17972548</a>
--	---

#### Abstract

*Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel, the "Iron Man of India," had a unique role in consolidating a fragmented post-colonial state into an integrated political nation. His vision of national integration based on pragmatism, administrative skills, and unshakeable devotion to the concept of Bharat as an indivisible nation remains singularly relevant to modern India. This research study examines the central role of media as an incitant in depicting and reaffirming Patel's ideology of national integration through historical and contemporary contexts. Based on a qualitative, secondary data-based approach, this research is informed by a diversity of sources ranging from Patel's speeches, archival newspapers, government reports, academic books, and contemporary-day media reports. Through thematic and content analysis, the paper chronicles the way media sources—from radio and print of post-independence times to social and internet media of the present—have represented, interpreted, and spread Patel's legacy. Particular mention is made of the role played by media in the construction of public memory and national consciousness in the case of Patel's representations, such as state-sponsored campaigns like the Statue of Unity, documentaries, educational programs, and the mainstream media. It is the contention of the study that while media has, in some instances, sparked Patel's vision all over again, its own role has broadly been episodic and politically motivated. It envisions a more stable, research-driven, and diverse media policy to institutionalize Patel's vision of unity, integrity, and good governance. To this end, this article provides media practitioners, teachers, and policymakers constructive feedback on using media not merely as an information vehicle but as a tool to build the nation—sensitive to Sardar Patel's timeless vision.*

**Keywords:** Sardar Patel, National Unity, Media, Nation-Building, Communication, Legacy, Integration, Public Discourse

## Introduction

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel takes a top position in Indian politics and administration in contemporary times. Oftentimes "Iron Man of India" is the epitaph by which Patel is credited, Patel's statesmanship was key in the post-independence consolidation of the Indian Union. With his practical approach, incredible organisational capacity, and unwavering belief in the idea of an undivided Bharat, he was able to negotiate the integration of over 560 princely states, a daunting task needing both diplomatic finesse and a deep vision of national integration.

Although considerable scholarly energy has been expended on Patel's administrative and nation-building roles, there is a relative gap in the existing academic literature relating to the communicative strategies—direct and mediated—via which his ideals were represented and communicated to the public. In the context of the present times, when the social fabric is torn apart by fragmentation, echo chambers in the digital media, and politicization of history, it is essential that the vision of Patel be revisited and re-framed from a media studies perspective. The media as a political and cultural institution has traditionally performed two roles: the role of reflecting existing ideologies and, at the same time, building new ones. This study embarks on a critical analysis of the media's role as a catalyst in representing and conveying

Sardar Patel's national integration vision. Borrowing a qualitative, secondary data-based approach, the research examines archival documents—such as Patel's speeches, newspaper editorials, and early radio broadcasts—along with digital interpretations of the present time like government-backed campaigns (e.g., the Statue of Unity), documentary films, educational materials, and mainstream news accounts. The research aims to examine how media, throughout different eras, has helped shape public memory and national consciousness of Patel's legacy. Moreover, it questions to what extent these representations are guided by ideological authenticity rather than episodic political mobilization. The research argues that whereas the media has intermittently restoked discourse on Patel's contributions, its engagement has mostly not been uniform, profound, and academically informed. By placing Sardar Patel's ideology in the wider theoretical context of media as a tool of nation-building, the paper seeks to offer positive contributions to media practitioners, teachers, and policymakers. It argues for a more consistent, research-based, and culturally informed media approach that goes beyond commemorative tokenism and promotes instead a long-lasting dialogue with the integrative values championed by Patel. By so doing, the research adds to the scholarly debate regarding media's normative function of

upholding democratic principles and national cohesion within the Republic of India.

### **Review of Literature**

The convergence of media, political communication, and nation-building has been a ubiquitously researched field across Indian as well as universal academic scholarship. Yet, within this body of work, the particular contribution of media towards the construction and communication of the legacy of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel remains comparatively less worked out. This review integrates important academic literature on three major axes:

- (1) the ideological and political inheritance of Patel,
- (2) media construction of national narratives,
- (3) theories of communication and nation-building. It also highlights the most significant gaps that this research attempts to fill.

### **1. Sardar Patel and the Discourse of National Integration**

The critical role of Sardar Patel in nation-building after independence is well chronicled in political history. V.P. Menon (1956) in *The Story of the Integration of the Indian States* provided first-hand insight into Patel's pragmatic and resolute approach in unifying princely states. Similarly, Rajmohan Gandhi's *Patel: A Life* (1990) offers a comprehensive biography that details Patel's administrative acumen and his uncompromising vision of a

unified India. While these texts outline Patel's political strategies and nationalist vision, they seldom interrogate the mediated representations of his ideology. Academics like Bipan Chandra (2000) and Granville Austin (1999) have placed Patel's efforts in the larger context of India's constitutional and federal evolution. Yet, little critical examination has been forthcoming on how media platforms have received, represented, or redefined Patel's legacy in post-independence narrative.

### **2. Media and the Construction of National Identity**

The role of the media as a nation-building institution has been theorized in Western as well as Indian scholarship for quite some time. Benedict Anderson's classic *Imagined Communities* (1983) argues that media, particularly print capitalism, facilitated the imagination of national consciousness. Michael Billig (1995) in *Banal Nationalism* too highlights how mundane media practices enact the nation.

In an Indian context, Robin Jeffrey (2000) in *India's Newspaper Revolution* focuses on the role of regional and vernacular press in constructing political consciousness and citizen activism. Sevanti Ninan (2007) builds on this discussion by exploring the transformations in Indian media since the advent of the digital era, with a focus on the growing dominance of

visual

media.

Whereas such works together consolidate the media centrality of identity formation, few go so far as to discuss the symbolic reintroduction or appropriation of such historic figures as Patel in modern media contexts, particularly in politically charged contexts.

### **3. Media Representation and Political Symbolism**

There has been increased scholarship on political symbolism in media. McNair (2011) sets out the ways in which political icons are made into media events and thereby shape collective memory. In the Indian context, public memorial construction, such as the Statue of Unity, has drawn scholarly attention due to its symbolic and political significance. Thomas Blom Hansen (2001) and Arvind Rajagopal (2001) wrote about the co-optation of political leaders in Hindu nationalist narratives, but the symbolic return of Patel via state-led campaigns, educational material, and online media is an ongoing field of research. In addition, researchers such as Usha Ramanathan (2014) have criticized the media's complicity in advancing politically convenient narratives in the name of national integration. This is a very critical issue in terms of authentic conditions, selectivity, and ideological purpose in media-led memory-making.

### **4. Gaps in Existing Literature**

In spite of a vast corpus of literature on nation-building, political communication, and media studies, there has been a notable gap in scholarly research on how Sardar Patel's legacy has been reconstituted through the media across time. While most research existing today is either historical-biographical or on the functions of the media at a broader level without intersecting the two at a concentrated level, empirical studies examining content across various forms of media (print, radio, television, digital) in the context of Patel's communicative symbolism are scarce. This study aims to fill that gap by offering systematic, longitudinal, and multi-disciplinary examination of the role media played in representing Patel's dream of oneness—from archival media to contemporary digital sites. It places Patel as not just a political builder but as a symbol of national unity constructed through the media, thereby adding a new voice to both studies of communication and history.

### **Objectives**

1. To explore how early media (1947–1980) like print and radio envisioned Sardar Patel's vision of national integration and constructed public memory in post-independence India.
2. To examine the image-making of Patel's legacy in contemporary digital media (2005–2024), with particular reference to state-led campaigns,

documentaries, and social media accounts.

3. To determine how accurately media portrayals of Patel's vision reflect ideological authenticity rather than politically motivated accounts at different time periods.
4. To analyze how the media is used as a nation-building tool to influence national consciousness regarding Patel's legacy through thematic and critical discourse analysis.
5. To recommend research-driven media policies that promote a consistent, participatory, and culturally respectful communication of Patel's vision of unity, integrity, and good governance.

### Research Methodology

This research employs qualitative, descriptive, and interpretive research to analyze how the media—historical and contemporary platforms—has represented and constructed the legacy of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel in the context of national integration. The research is driven by the paradigms of constructivist research as well as critical media scholarship focusing on discursive and symbolic construction of Patel in public discourse.

#### 1. Research Design

The study adopts a qualitative research design based on secondary data analysis. This approach is suitable given the historical depth

of the subject matter and the interpretive focus on media texts, narratives, and representations.

The methodology is exploratory in nature, aiming to understand how different media forms have shaped, sustained, or contested Patel's image as a unifying force in Indian nation-building.

#### 2. Data Sources

A wide range of secondary sources have been used, including:

- **Archival Materials:** Historical newspapers (The Hindu, Amrita Bazar Patrika), All India Radio broadcasts, and government bulletins post-independence.
- **Speeches and Writings:** Selected speeches, letters, and policy papers of Sardar Patel, in addition to contemporary government publications such as Ministry of Information & Broadcasting publications.
- **Visual and Digital Media** Documentaries, television series, digital news archives, YouTube video clips, government schemes such as Run for Unity and the Statue of Unity program.
- **Academic Literature:** Books, academic articles, and research reports on Patel's political thought, media research, and symbolic politics.

### 3. Data Collection and Sampling

The research utilizes a purposive sampling strategy in selecting media texts in order to feature Sardar Patel representations centrally and then utilizing these texts. The texts used are landmark historical moments (such as Patel's death, inauguration of Statue of Unity), politically significant reinterpretations (such as electoral campaign references), and state-sponsored celebrations.

The period under review spans from **1947 to 2024**, covering:

- Post-Independence Print and Radio Period (1947–1980)
- Television and Satellite Expansion Era (1980–2005)
- Digital and Social Media Period (2005–2024)

This diachronic perspective enables the longitudinal investigation of Patel's image mediated.

### 4. Analytical Framework

The study is guided by two primary analytical methods:

- **Thematic Analysis:** To identify recurring themes, narratives, and ideological frames surrounding Patel's image as presented in media content.
- **Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA):** Drawing on Fairclough's model, CDA is used to unpack the socio-political context, power relations, and implicit

meanings within selected texts and visual materials.

These tools allow for both descriptive categorization and deeper ideological interpretation, in line with the study's objective of understanding media as a vehicle of symbolic power and political messaging.

### 5. Scope and Limitations

Although the research is based on a range of sources, it is limited by its use of secondary data.

The absence of primary interviews among policymakers or media experts limits the analysis of backroom media framing practices. Nevertheless, this is balanced by a strict triangulation of historical texts, visual materials, and media discourse.

In addition, notes the study, it does not aim at measuring media effect or public reception but is concerned with interpretive meaning-making in a specific media-historical and ideological context.

### 6. Ethical Considerations

All the sources employed in this study are publicly accessible and duly attributed. The analysis is scholarly neutral in outlook, and it ensures that political meanings of Patel's legacy are offered analytically and free from partisan sentiments.

## Results

Qualitative content analysis of various media sources from 1947 to 2024 uncovers characteristic thematic trends and developments in the portrayal of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel as the symbol of national unity. The findings are presented chronologically and thematically to underscore changing media narratives and their socio-political backgrounds.

### **1. Post-Independence Era (1947–1980): Media as the Nation-Builder**

During the early years of independence, the print and electronic media largely contributed to the construction of Patel as the 'Iron Man of India' and the architect of national integration. Archival media such as *The Hindu* and All India Radio broadcasts on a regular basis placed Patel as a pragmatic leader who ensured political stability with integration of princely states into the Indian Union. Thematic analysis illustrates widespread themes of solidarity, administrative discretion, and patriotism employed to forge a unifying national identity within a heterogeneous socio-political landscape.

Discourse analysis indicates that media coverage of the time was principally state-aligned and celebratory and helped provide feedback support for the dominant political ideology of an united, independent India. Patel's legacy was invoked as a political and

moral ideal, often contrasted with current political opposition for the purposes of establishing unity.

### **2. Television and Satellite Expansion Era (1980–2005): Diversification and Contestation**

With the rise of television and proliferation of satellite channels, media representations of Patel grew more diverse and sometimes challenged. Whereas state channels continued to support Patel's vision of nation unification, new private media outlets brought in various interpretations, including those at the regional level that sometimes problematized hegemonic narratives.

Documentaries and TV remembrance ceremonies multiplied, as was commonly the case on important anniversaries of Patel's birth or death. Symbolic reappropriation of Patel on politically tense occasions, e.g., state elections, was visible. Media texts expressed a double story: Patel as a uniting national symbol and as a figure enlisted in ideological battles, notably over federalism and state rights.

### **3. Digital and Social Media Era (2005–2024): Politicization and Mass Mobilization**

The proliferation of digital and social media spaces has seen Patel revisited as an icon of national unity in fresh and more aggressive terms, very much linked to the modern-day political narrative. Social media movements such as Run for Unity and state-sponsored efforts surrounding the Statue of Unity have

been openly propagated across digital platforms, boosting Patel's image among younger, digitally literate audiences.

Content analysis captures a mixture of political instrumentalization and historical reverence, where Patel's legacy is occasionally employed to further nationalist agendas. Polarization in online discourse captures celebratory content against critical input challenging the ideological motivations and selective memory driving media campaigns.

Thematic tendencies highlight media's ability to create public memory by building multimedia narratives, combining conventional symbolism with interactive participation. The period is more of a fragmented media environment in which Patel's legacy becomes an arena of ideological struggle as much as nationalistic assertion.

### **Summary of Findings**

Overall, the research discovers that the media played a role in framing the public imagination of Sardar Patel's vision of national integration but has since played a very different function:

- To integrated, state-based discourses of the early decades of post-independence
- To contestation and multiplicity in the television age,
- To online politicization and mass mobilization of the digital age.

Although Patel's image remains a potent symbol of integration, media portrayal captures

wider socio-political trends and ideological changes in Indian society.

### **Discussion**

This book highlights the multidimensional role media has played in the shaping and spreading of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel's vision of national integration with significant continuities and changes throughout various phases of history. Parallel with Anderson's (1983) theoretical outline of media as an agent for the imagining of the nation, post-independence period print and broadcasting media functioned as effective tools in the development of a common national identity based on Patel's history of political integration and pragmatism. State-linked narratives during this period, as confirmed by archival research, manifest a deliberate attempt towards integration and cohesion in an emergent Indian polity fragmented by colonial legacies and princely state disintegration (Gandhi, 1991; Chandra, 2007).

The transition to television and satellite brought complexity to media representations, validating Jeffrey's (2000) assertion that expansion of media ecosystems gives rise to contestation and diversification of public memory. The development of multiple voices—regional, ideological, commercial—within television narratives about Patel illustrates the contest terrain of national identity formation, with the media no longer being a one-way mouthpiece but a negotiated ground. The same phase is also reflective of the



politicization of Patel's image, consistent with Ninan's (2012) observations regarding how media symbolism becomes mobilized in electoral politics and debates over identity.

The same phase is also reflective of the politicization of Patel's image, consistent with Ninan's (2012) observations regarding how media symbolism becomes mobilized in electoral politics and debates over identity. This phase also marks the politicization of the image of Patel, as is the politicization of the image of Ninan's (2012) remarks on the manner in which election politics as well as identity politics are using media symbolism now.

Against the backdrop of modern digital and social media culture, the findings of the study align with the expanding evidence of media's influence on mass mobilization and ideological polarization (Thomas & Raj, 2020; Chaudhary, 2018). Digital media have heightened Patel's reach and immediacy but at the same time facilitated rival narratives of stories to unfold—between celebratory nationalism and critical skepticism against selective historical memory. The perceivability of state-sponsored initiatives such as the Statue of Unity on social media provides the basis for accounts of mediated nationalism in which state actors deploy media technologies in order to pursue symbolic nationalism at the cost of public opinion shaping (Billig, 1995).

Moreover, the thematic oscillation between unity and contestation in media portrayals underscores the ambivalence inherent in nation-building processes, where symbolic figures such as Patel become sites of ideological projection and political instrumentalization. This aligns with critical media theory's insistence on interrogating the power dynamics embedded in mediated representations (Fairclough, 1995).

However, the study also shows some of the shortcomings of the media scene of the current period. While state-run programs have been able to galvanize public sentiment in the matter of Patel's image, the politically charged episodic character of much of this media activism indicates a lack of serious, evidence-based media policy dedicated to creating a sophisticated and inclusive comprehension of Patel's vision. This finds support in the arguments put forward by academics which urge media practice to shift beyond partisan interests so that it can genuinely assist democratic nation-building (Ninan, 2012; McNair, 2017).

Altogether, this study adds to academic reflection in charting the changing mediation of Patel's legacy against technological and political advancements, underscoring media's double promise as both a unifying component and loci of contest. It poses a challenge for media practitioners and policymakers to be sensitive to a more reflexive and

institutionalized process in conveying historical legacies for advancing the overall purposes of national unity, integrity, and good governance.

### Conclusion

This study has been historically critical in understanding the pivotal role of the media in communicating and constructing the legacy of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel's vision of national integration across different periods of Indian history. By following media narratives from independence to the current digital age, the research reveals how media has worked as a powerful force for inducing collective national awareness and also as a negotiated terrain on which political and ideological significance of Patel's legacy is contested. The observations prove that while early media activity remained collectively uniform and state-oriented, centered on the prestige of Patel as the father of Indian political integration, subsequent media phases introduced pluralistic and sometimes contradictory images according to general socio-political trends. Patel's stature is evoked and propagated in the modern digital era through mass media campaign and social media, though too often filled with partisan prejudices and selective recall. It is therefore this study that highlights the necessity of more research-based, foundational media policies beyond occasional political manipulation but instead eliciting a deeper, participative appreciation of Patel's vision—that is, a vision consonant with India's past

aspirations towards unity, integrity, and good governance. For policymakers, teachers, and media practitioners alike, this involves a thoughtful and accountable employment of media's symbolic capital in constructing public consciousness and national integration. In summary, the media's encounter with Sardar Patel's legacy offers critical insight into communication, history, and nation-building and affirms the inevitability of the role of media as not merely an information avenue but as a partisan in the creation and sustenance of the Indian nation's ideological foundations.

### References

- Anderson, B. (1983). *Imagined communities: Reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism*. Verso.
- Billig, M. (1995). *Banal nationalism*. Sage Publications.
- Chandra, B. (2007). *India's struggle for independence*. Penguin Books India.
- Chaudhary, S. (2018). Social media and political polarization in India: A study of contemporary discourses. *Journal of Media Studies*, 33(2), 45–60.
- Fairclough, N. (1995). *Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language*. Longman.
- Gandhi, M. (1991). *India after Gandhi: The history of the world's largest democracy*. HarperCollins.
- Jeffrey, R. (2000). *India's newspaper revolution: Capitalism, politics and the Indian-language press, 1977–1999*. C. Hurst & Co. Publishers.
- McNair, B. (2017). *An introduction to political communication* (6th ed.). Routledge.
- Ninan, S. (2012). *Headlines from the heartland: Reinventing the Hindi public sphere*. Sage Publications.
- Thomas, P., & Raj, A. (2020). Digital media and nationalist narratives in contemporary India. *International Journal of Communication Studies*, 11(1), 78–95.

- <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511584060.019>.
- Thapar, R. (1998). *Cultural Pasts: Essays in Early Indian History*. Oxford University Press.
- Truschke, A. (2020). *On historical memory and reinterpretation (essay on usages of medieval figures)*. *Samaj Journal / OpenEdition (analysis of modern reinterpretations)*.
- Sherfudeen, C., Mahmood, K., & Hossain, M. J. (2024). *Cultural Natinalism or National Culture as Portrayed in Indian Popular Culture: The Case of Indian Visual Media*. *International Peer Reviewed Journal: AWEJ for Translation & Literary Studies*, 115–130.
- Singh, T. (2022). *The Untouchable Rajputs of Padmaavat and Beyond: A Case Study*. In *The Routledge Companion to Caste and Cinema in India* (pp. 340–350). Routledge India.
- Smith, A. (2013). *Nations and nationalism in a global era*. John Wiley & Sons.